### **Lichfield Avenue TRO Scheme:**

### **Formal Consultation Response Summary**

### **Overview of Responses:**

**Support** 

74

**Objections** 

22

Other (Partial Support & No Objections Raised)

9

<u>Total – 105 Responses</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Non-statutory respondents are referred to as 'Consultee X (number)'

# Lichfield Avenue Formal Consultation Log

Proposed No Waiting at Any Time, No Waiting During Restricted Hours & Resident Permit Parking Only

Consultation: 08/07/2020 to 07/08/2020

Consultee	Response
Ward Councillor	Dear Sir,
(Support Indicated)	I fully support the Traffic Regulation Order Scheme for Lichfield Avenue, on Eign Hill Ward, in Hereford.
	At present the parking situation on Lichfield Avenue is a cause for concern and at times potentially dangerous. The residents have expressed concern over motorists parking their vehicles all day and in some cases parking inconsiderately making it increasingly difficult for other road users. Fortunately, Lichfield Avenue is on a bus route, which is used and much appreciated by residents. However, all too frequently the bus driver has to negotiate his way through with some difficulty, due to parallel parking.
	The proposed double yellow lines at road junctions and the 90-degree bend at the centre of Lichfield Avenue will greatly increase visibility and safety.  The introduction of Parking Permits will ensure residents will be able to park close to their property.  The single yellow line parking restriction enforceable Monday to Friday, 8am to 5pm will prohibit motorists parking all day.
	At the moment there is no control on parking at Lichfield Avenue. The TRO will give structure, control, safety and increased visibility for the residents and road users.  Therefore the residents and the planners have my full support for an excellent TRO Scheme.  Yours faithfully,
Policy Manager - Multimodal	Dear XX,
Freight Transport Association (No Objections Raised)	Thank you for your email.
	While FTA understands that in order to manage the spread of COVID-19, there is a need for people walking and cycling to be able to practice social distancing, we must ensure that we do not create barriers to the logistics industry which is playing a vital role in keeping supplies moving around the country and within our towns and cities.
	FTA's areas of concern are two-fold: access to the kerbside for deliveries and servicing activity and potential increases in journey times.
	As lockdown restrictions change and evolve, roads will become busier so it is important that any temporary reallocation of road space is flexible and can be changed in a dynamic way to reflect changes.

It is important to ensure access to the kerbside for deliveries and servicing activity is maintained. Furthermore, there is likely to be an increased need for the logistics sector to restock of these premises as economic activity grows, and this demand for kerbside access must be accommodated. In response to this proposal, we request that you consider the following points: 1. Any temporary reallocation of road space for walking and cycling should be flexed and changed in a dynamic way to reflect changes in demand and to ensure access for vital logistics services. Kerbside access must be maintained at all times for deliveries and servicing. Roads are only closed where it would not cause an unduly large diversion and consideration must be given to the impacts of displaced traffic on nearby roads. Where residential roads are closed to traffic, access is maintained for home deliveries. This is particularly important to support vulnerable people and those self-isolating. 5. Local businesses must be consulted, even though their shopfronts may be closed, so they can make sure proposals meet their needs when they re-open. Enforcement against delivery vehicles must be proportionate and focussed on vehicles which are causing an obstruction to traffic flow or danger to road safety. Restrictions on delivery hours should be reviewed to enable, where possible, deliveries to take place at times when the roads are quieter, including earlier in the morning, later in the evening, overnight and at weekends. Hello. Consultee 1 **SUPPORT** This is to say that we wholeheartedly support the proposed parking order for Lichfield Avenue, Hereford. It will provide free passage for buses, prevent obstructions for emergency vehicles and service vehicles such as refuse lorries and enable users to negotiate the junctions safely and allow residents to exit and enter their own driveways safely. It may also encourage some of the commenters who park during the week to consider other forms of transport or to share vehicles. We look forward to the implementation of these proposals and thank you for your assistance in progressing this matter, especially in the current circumstances. Kind regards, Consultee 2 Dear Sirs, **SUPPORT** We fully support the proposals for no waiting and residents parking as shown on the map which accompanied the Notice of Proposal. The proposals should improve safety at the road junctions and put an end to the use of the Avenue as an all-day car park. The use of the Avenue for parking has on occasions led to the road being blocked and buses and other large vehicles being unable to get through. Also to serious inconvenience to residents wishing to gain access to their property. We look forward to the implementation of the Orders. Yours faithfully. Consultee 3 Hi XX, We are in favour of the parking restriction proposals. SUPPORT

However, unless we've misread, why does the yellow line not extend around the bay which is used as a turning area and has three driveways off it. Also, there seems to be a gap opposite the vets between Hampton Park Road and Sedgefield Rd where a parked car would cause a danger as traffic swings round the corner at the same time as vehicles emerge from Old Eign Hill.

Kind regards

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning both,

Thank you for your email indicating your support for this scheme. It is an offence to park a vehicle so that it blocks a dropped kerb driveway. The gap on the bay turning area is almost entirely dropped kerb driveways, so there is extremely little space for a vehicle to park here. Outside the vets is also a large section of dropped kerb which is used for staff and customer parking thus vehicles are extremely unlikely to block this as it is effectively a driveway serving the vets.

Thank you again for your comments, I have logged them on our data base of responses, and they shall be closely considered as part of this consultation exercise.

Many thanks,

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Thank you XX,

The space opposite the vets we mention is the other side of the road and not a dropped kerb. Something to look at.

Kind regards

Traffic Management Advisor West Mercia Police Road Safety Team (No Objections Raised) Dear XX,

Lichfield Avenue, Hereford. TRO Consultation for Introduction Permit Holder Only Parking & Other Waiting Restrictions.

I refer to your e-mail and attached letter dated 6th December 2019 seeking West Mercia Police's views in respect of the proposal to introduce a Permit Holder Only parking scheme along with sections of No Waiting at Any Time and No Waiting Mon-Fri 8am-5pm on Lichfield Avenue, Hereford, as shown on the drawing ref "3409-69 Lichfield Avenue ADL Proposals With residents Parking" accompanying the e-mail

I have examined the plans and visited the area on Monday 9th December to see it first-hand and I can confirm I have no objections to the proposal.

Yours sincerely,

### Consultee 4 SUPPORT

Dear sir,

I have just become aware of the proposed parking restrictions order for Lichfield Ave and other areas.

We reside at XX.

Let me say firstly that we fully support the planned proposal but we have serious concerns about the effect on Brookside as it stands. I regularly turn into Brookside from the Ledbury Rd direction and welcome the proposed double yellow lines at the junction but problem here is the inevitable line of cars all the way down on the RH side down to the lower junction every weekday. Whilst this parking along our frontage restricts access for deliveries to us I am more concerned about safety here. Brookside is much narrower than Lichfield Ave and it does not leave much room for HGVs , Refuse Collections and Emergency

Services, it also presents problems for vehicles going in and out over what is over 100m of single track.

Parking by commuters also goes on in Brookside proper and it will invariably be made worse when commuters displaced from Lichfield Ave and The Rose garden etc look for other parking.

The proposal as it stands will do nothing to protect or improve the amenity of Brookside and to improve safety I suggest that it would be appropriate to extend the scheme to the entrance section to Brookside if not to more of it if we are not to become more of a car park In the whole scheme of things I would not think it would a significant increase in the project costs.

We do hope you will consider this and let us know what happens next. Yours sincerely,

### Consultee 5 OBJECTION

Hello XX,

I have just seen the proposals for parking restrictions in this area and am concerned that Brookside is not included!!

If all these other streets are restricted, then the only place to park will be Brookside. Inconsiderate parking is already a problem here and this proposal will just make it worse.

People park and leave their cars all day. Parking inconsiderately and dangerously is a common occurrence. The road is very narrow, so parking is often blocking the pavement, buggies and wheelchairs have to go on the road.

Also, it would be impossible for an emergency vehicle to get past. As the vehicles have just been left all day there is no way to contact the driver to move the vehicle.

On occasions the dustbin lorry cannot get past and they wheel the bins halfway down the road.

If this proposal goes ahead without Brookside, then one of your criteria "Secure reasonable access to premises for residents" will be impossible.

However, if you review the situation at the moment it is not quite as bad since NHS staff were given free parking. A lot of hospital staff were obviously parking here and walking to work.

In fact, one student nurse said that the hospital had told her to park here! As soon as this changes we will be back with problems of difficult access to our properties.

I note that this response is going to Bristol, do you have local representatives on the consultation?

This is not an objection to the scheme but to the fact that Brookside is not included.

I look forward to your comments. Regards

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Hi,

Thank you for your email and comments below. The thoughts and concerns of local residents are extremely useful.

I understand your concerns over Brookside. The area is clearly one which is used widely for commuter parking as you say. Our remit for this Traffic Regulation Order Process is for Litchfield Avenue, The Rose

Garden and Ledbury Court. Any changes on Brookside would have to be undertaken as a separate TRO Process. Therefore, if you have any concerns regarding Brookside, you should express these to your Local Member whom, if they see fit, can approach the necessary persons within the council to request a new Traffic Regulation Order.

Regarding the consultation. As I say, your concerns regarding Brookside should be directed to your Local Member if you feel this is something that you and other residents of the road would like to be addressed.

I do hope this is useful. Many thanks again for your engagement in this process, it is very much appreciated.

Kind regards,

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Hi XX

Thank you for your very prompt response.

However, it is obviously not what I wanted to hear. A neighbour contacted Councillor XX and she came to talk to the Brookside residents a couple of weeks ago.

In the circumstances I have now decided I need to lodge an objection based on the impact the scheme will have on Brookside and other residential roads not included.

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning XX,

I understand you wish to log an objection to the proposed scheme.

Your comments will be logged on our system immediately. The next stage of the TRO Process is for a report to be written to the Assistant Director of Highways and Transportation outlining the process thus far and making a recommendation on how to proceed based upon the number of comments – bot supportive and in objection. So, yes, your objection will be seen by the council officers whom will ultimately make the decision on how to proceed.

Many thanks for your engagement. It is most appreciated.

Kind regards,

#### **CONSULTEE REPONSE**

Thank you again for your quick reply.

Yes, I both support the overall scheme but object to the knock-on effects.

I also think that there should have been more local consultation at an earlier stage before the plans were passed to you. The first we knew was when the notices were put on the lampposts!

I will keep in touch with councillor Foxton for future updates. Regards,

Consultee 6
OBJECTION

Hi XX,

RE: TRO Lichfield Avenue, Hereford

I wish to make the following objection to an element of the proposed Traffic Regulation Order along Lichfield Avenue.

The proposal, in general, is positive as it addresses safety concerns around junctions by way of double yellow lines, and with the single yellow lines on one side of the road will allow for busses to pass more safely. These elements are welcomed and will enhance safety thus have a definite justification.

The element of the proposal that should not be implemented is that of Residents Only Parking, marked by the blue dotted line. In the correct setting, such as terraced housing or city centres, this can be essential, however, there is simply no justification for them within this scheme for the following reasons:

- 1) ALL the properties along Lichfield Avenue have off-street parking, many of them for multiple vehicles, so there is absolutely no reason for resident's only parking on the road.
- 2) There is NEVER a shortage of parking places along Lichfield Avenue, residents may occasionally not be able to park outside their houses, but, this scheme doesn't guarantee that either. If residents were having to park on other streets or more than a few minutes walk from their houses then I could understand the request, but that is simply not the case as there isn't a shortage of spaces.

This comment takes into consideration that under the proposed scheme one side will be single yellow, the current situation vary rarely sees cars parked on both sides of the road so there will cumulatively be little or no difference in the number of spaces available.

- 3) There is no evidence or survey date to warrant a residents parking restriction, there must be proper and factual justification for such a proposal rather than a few vocal residents with a NIMBY agenda.
- 4) There may have been a one-off incident when a bus couldn't get past because people had parked on both sides of the road but, that doesn't justify residents only parking and similar issues would be solved by the single yellow restrictions.
- 5) An order like this could encourage residents to purchase more cars, something that is contrary to councils green transport strategy. These residences are all within walking and cycling distance of the town thus should be discouraged from making trips by vehicle.

In short, the implementation of resident's only parking on Litchfield is wholly unjustified and utterly inappropriate for a location like this. Every house has off-street parking and there is never a shortage of spaces for residents.

If residents only parking is approved under this scheme I will be seeking to challenge the TRO legally as I consider the Residents Only Parking to be disproportionate and unjustified.

Please, could you respond to this e-mail and confirm you have received it.

Best wishes,

### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good Moring XX,

	Many thanks for your area!
	Many thanks for your email.
	I understand and acknowledge receipt of your objection and reasoning detailed in the below email.
	I have added your comments to our response log, and they shall be carefully considered upon the close of this consultation process and referred to in the subsequent report to the council which shall be written.
	Many thanks again for your engagement. It is most appreciated.
	Kind regards,
Consultee 7	To whom it may concern,
OBJECTION	I'm writing to object to the proposed parking restrictions on Litchfield Avenue in Hereford. My reasons for objecting are as follows
	<ol> <li>All the properties along Litchfield Avenue have off-street parking, many for multiple vehicles, so there is absolutely no reason for resident's only parking on the road.</li> <li>There is NEVER a shortage of parking places along Litchfield Avenue.</li> </ol>
	3. An order like this could encourage residents to purchase more cars - something contrary to the Council's green transport strategy. These residences are all within walking distance of the town and thus should be discouraged from making such short trips by vehicle.
	I think serious consideration needs to be taken and I hope you take the time to consider the points I have raised.
	Kind regards
Consultee 8 OBJECTION	As an employee at XXX I think that the plans for this road are unfair and unjustified. All the properties along Litchfield Avenue have off-street parking, many of them for multiple vehicles, thus there being absolutely no reason for resident only parking on the road. As well as this many NHS workers park down this road so they do not have to worry about the cost of parking and it is only a short distant walk from the local hospital. Over this last week I have noticed many residents parking on the road and walking to their homes whether their house is on the street or the hill next to Litchfield Avenue.
Consultee 9	Dear Sir
OBJECTION	I would like to object to the residents only parking application in Litchfield Avenue, Hereford, on these grounds:
	<ol> <li>All the properties along Litchfield Avenue have off-street parking, many for multiple vehicles, so there is absolutely no reason for resident's only parking on the road.</li> <li>There is NEVER a shortage of parking places along Litchield Avenue.</li> <li>An order like this could encourage residents to purchase more cars something contrary to the Council's green transport strategy. These residences are all within walking distance of the town and thus should be discouraged from making such short trips by vehicle.</li> </ol>
	In short, the implementation of resident's only parking is wholly unjustified and utterly inappropriate for a location like this. Every house

has off-street parking and there is never a shortage of space for residents.

Many thanks for taking these points in consideration Regards

# Consultee 10 OBJECTION

Dear sir/madam,

I am writing to express my concerns about the introduction of the "residents only parking" that is being introduced on Lichfield Road. I feel that this is an unnecessary measure, the residents on Lichfield Road all have parking available to them on their properties and in fact are deliberately parking on the road to stop others from doing so. The introduction of this would be harmful to the local businesses/services as this is where members of staff from the vets and the hospital both park - considering that they are providing an essential and important service it seems unjust to prevent them from being able to park in the convenient location. It also proves difficult for staff at the nursery to be able to find parking, also people providing a necessary service. Preventing these people from parking far away from their place of work also puts staff members in danger by causing them to walk through places in a dark evening which are known for having recent incidents where people have been followed or harassed and been made to feel unsafe whilst walking. Something which nobody should be made to feel when attending their place of work.

The previous points are all indicative that the decision to prevent people from parking on Lichfield avenue is an unnecessary one and one that would cause much unneeded stress and negativity.

I look forward to hearing the verdict on this decision.

Many thanks,

### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning,

Thank you for your email and comments below, they are much appreciated.

I have added your comments to our response log and they shall be carefully considered upon the close of this consultation process. Can I please clarify whether you wish to Object to this scheme? Many thanks again for your engagement.

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Good afternoon XX,

Yes, I would like to object to this scheme.

Many thanks,

### Consultee 11 OBJECTION

To whom it may concern,

I wish to voice my opinion on the residents only appeal on Litchfield Avenue in Hereford. I feel this is very unjust for multiple reasons, the first being that every house on that road has their own off-road parking available with their house, which can easily fit even 2 cars at a time. Therefore, the residents of these houses, I believe, are being highly dramatic of the off-road parking, when the would never have to use it. Secondly, Litchfield Avenue is never short of parking spaces due to the road being very long and to all of the risen curbs that it has, meaning even if they did need to use the road to park for guests etc, there are plenty of places to choose from.

Furthermore, with the proximity of this road being so close to town and other popular amenities, they should be more aware of using their cars

less and be encouraged to walk instead. By giving them more places to park on the road, as well as their own personal driveways, would hinder the councils green transport strategy, as they would be able to have even more cars than before.

Overall, I believe that the plan to make Litchfield Avenue a residents only parking is completely inappropriate for a large area like this, and there is not a whole, justified reason for doing so.

Many thanks,

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning,

Many thanks for your email and comments below, they are much appreciated.

I have added your comments to our response log and they shall be carefully considered upon the close of this consultation process. In light of your comments, could you please confirm whether you wish to lodge an Objection to this scheme?

Many thanks again,

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Good afternoon,

Yes I wish to object this.

Many thanks,

# Consultee 12 OBJECTION

Good evening,

I am just writing this email to highlight my concerns about the permit being put in place for Litchfield Avenue.

I know that all the properties along Litchfield Avenue have off street parking; driveways so there doesn't appear to be a reason for the road to be resident parking only. Also there is rarely a shortage of parking places. The residents also live WALKING distance from town, I have to travel 30+ minutes in a car to get to my workplace.

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning,

Many thanks for your email and comments regarding the proposed scheme at Lichfield Avenue.

I have added your comments to our response database and they shall be closely considered upon the close of this consultation. In light of your comments, I am not logging this as an objection, however if you would like to object please clarify this in writing. Many thanks,

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Hello XX,

Thankyou for your reply it is appreciated. I object to the permit on Litchfield Avenue.

# Consultee 13 OBJECTION

Dear sirs

We wish to formally object to the proposed parking restrictions in and around Lichfield Ave, on the grounds that we believe that as a consequence of the proposed changes vehicles which currently park on unrestricted sections of road in Lichfield Avenue and Sedgefield Roads will migrate into Dymond Close and have the potential to cause problems for the residents in Dymond Close. Dymond Close is a narrow cul de sac with vehicle access to properties on both sides of the road

	and limited visibility. If migrated vehicles park on both sides of Dymond
	Close then it could cause access issues for emergency service vehicles
	Many thanks
Consultee 14	Dear Sir
(No Objections Raised)	I am writing as I have heard and understood that the above avenue has
	been petitioned to become permit only parking.
	I am disappointed to hear this as I travel to work in the near by XX
	during the darker and winter months and do park within that vicinity.
	Now I live in XX I do make every effort to walk during late spring and summer but because my work day often does not finish until 5.45 I do
	still drive during the late autumn and winter months as I actually don't
	feel safe walking home when the areas around my walking journey are
	dark.
	I must admit my car has actually been scratched twice when it has been
	parked there, I presumed by unhappy residents. The area I park is
	opposite the side entrance to the vets and it dosent affect any
	household or the frontage of any homes. They all have off road parking
	which is actually a luxury you pay for
	( I know recently moving to XX) and as far as I can see when I arrive to
	work at 7.30 am there is usually one van and car parked there. This will
	have a massive impact on my co-workers whom many live out of town
	or the opposite end of Hereford itself. If at 45 I feel vulnerability to walk
	the 18-20 year old will definitely feel this way.
	We are also not aloud to car share at the moment due to Covid, it
	depends on which department within the nursery we work but most drivers work in various locations within the nursery are in differing
	"bubbles", this has of course probably increased the car parking on the
	avenue at the moment unfortunately!
	I have always parked considerately and as far as I can see other than a
	couple of people rushing to the vets with sick animals everyone has. I
	know it takes one person to park wrongly to cause chaos but our
	employer has always kept a close eye on our employees parking, taking
	reg numbers, makes and models so they can advise and move any cars
	wrongly parked or causing problems in the area.
	Again I am very disappointed, with no public parking available locally it's
	going to be a huge problem for me and my work colleagues.
Consultee 15	Kindest regards   I am writing in objection to the application for resident only parking on
OBJECTION	Litchfield Avenue in Hereford.
OBJECTION	Eletimeta Avenue in Heretora.
	There is a number of reasons why this cannot be justified and is an
	unreasonable request.
	1. All of the residents of Litchfield Avenue have their own driveways and
	therefore are not in any need of further parking.
	2. There is never a shortage of space on Litchfield Avenue, there is
	2. There is never a shortage of space on Litchfield Avenue, there is always plenty of space for anyone to park.
	always pieticy of space for allyone to park.
	3. For some people who have to work close by this is the only
	reasonable place for us to park. Particularly in the winter, having to find
	somewhere further away to park may put us at risk, walking to our cars
	in the dark.
	4. We all pay road tax and are therefore entitled to park here, causing
	no obstruction or harm to anyone.

5. The people of Litchfield Avenue have on numerous occasions been verbally abusive to people parking around this area. It is clear that this application for residential parking is purely out of spite and not for the fact that any of them need extra parking! They are purely doing it to stop anyone else parking on this street. A street which is never full, never busy, and a street that they would be able to park on without having to have a permit because there is always a space for them anyway! Despite the fact that they also have their own driveways. Many thanks for your time in reading this,

### Consultee 16 OBJECTION

Hello

I am writing to object to the proposal of making Litchfield Avenue residents parking only. I work at XX, I park towards the top of XX, I always park sensibly, never blocking any bodies drive on either side.

I cannot understand the reasoning behind making this street residents parking only, every house on this road has more than enough off road parking for each house. There is always space to park your car along the road so there is definitely no shortage of parking for residents.

This seems completely unjustified for an area like Litchfield Avenue when residents not only have ample off street parking but there are always spaces along the road also without making it just for residents.

#### Many thanks

### Consultee 17 OBJECTION

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to you regarding the Petition for 'residents only' parking on Litchfield Avenue. The residents on this Avenue are fortunate enough to have driveway parking (most for multiple vehicles) and so it appears that the motivation behind this petition is not to enable residents to park at their homes but rather to stop other road tax payers from parking on 'their' road.

The approval of this request is a dangerous precedent to set in Hereford. Many streets in the City are inhabited by residents who are not fortunate enough to have driveway parking for a single vehicle let alone multiple vehicles.

I live on a street where people regularly park outside my home, I would rather they didn't but understand that I do not own the road outside my property and any objection I may feel comes from a 'territorial' viewpoint and has no real impact me when viewed without emotion. This petition is a blatant attempt by the residents of Lichfield Avenue to create a private road - something we all would all selfishly like for our own properties but something that would be unfair to other motorists in our City who pay their road tax .

Many of my work colleagues have had their cars damaged and have had both verbal and written abuse left on their cars when parking on this road, despite being well within the law and causing no obstructions to drive ways or properties. I find the bullying tactics and behaviour of some of the residents to be totally unprovoked and unacceptable.

I trust this matter can be resolved accordingly.

Yours sincerely

### **CONSULTEE SECOND EMAIL**

Hi XX,

	Please can you log my previous response as an objection to the
	residents only parking on Litchfield Avenue.
	Many thanks
Consultee 18	Good morning,
OBJECTION	
	I would like to email my objection to the purposes yellow lines on
	Lichfield Avenue. I would like to point out the following:
	All properties along that avenue have off street parking, many for multiple cars so there is no reason for the residents only parking on the road.
	There is never a shortage of parking places along Lichfield Avenue.
	An order like this could encourage residents to purchase more vehicles something against the councils green transport strategy.
	These residents live a short distance from town and therefore should be discouraged from using vehicles to make such short distances.
	Many thanks
Consultee 19	
(No Objections Raised)	Dear Sir / Madam,
	Ref: Traffic Proposals, Lichfield Avenue, Hereford
	As a resident of about the 'knock on' effect of the new parking restrictions in Lichfield Avenue.  Sedgefield Road is an elongated close and is a no through road off Lichfield Avenue, Hereford.
	For many years there have been problems with non-resident vehicles parking in Sedgefield Road. This situation became considerably worse in 2013 after the opening of a nearby nursery school and also the opening of a pedestrian and cycleway route over the river Wye to the Rotherwas Industrial area.
	With the proposed road restrictions in Lichfield Avenue, to which I have no objections, the present parking problems being experienced in Lichfield Avenue will probably move further into Sedgefield Road and also Dymond Close.
	Dymond Close is a particularly narrow road on a steep bank with a slight bend at its entrance. Any parking on either side of this road makes it difficult, if not

impossible for residents, delivery vehicles, refuse collections, etc. to drive in and out.

With this in mind, what arrangements will be put in place to alleviate the already existing problems and the future ones that will certainly occur when the new regulations in Lichfield Avenue become operational?

It would be extremely helpful to residents in Sedgefield Road and Dymond Close if a 'no parking bay', of at least 2 vehicle lengths, was marked in Sedgefield Road. This would enable residents, delivery drivers, refuse collections, etc. to pull into the bay thus allowing vehicles coming into or leaving Sedgefield Road not having to reverse back to main road or reverse to the entrance to Dymond Close. Both being dangerous manoeuvres but at present are the only options available.

Yours faithfully,



### Consultee 20 OBJECTION

#### Good afternoon

XX, I know you and XX have exchanged several emails regarding this proposal, however I want to emphasise the access problems XXX on Brookside so I am also lodging a objection.

My objection is that only the first 10 metres of Brookside are included in this proposal.

Brookside is an extremely narrow residential road, a cul-de-sac at both ends, but with easy access on foot to both Ledbury Road and Lichfield Avenue at Eign Road end.

Down the hill to the right Brookside is already used as a car park by some motorists who leave their cars/vans for hours without any consideration for resident's getting their cars in/out of drives and onto the road safely. Inconsiderate parking results in the refuse/recycling lorry on occassion being unable to reverse along to the end to empty the bins. Also it would be impossible for emergency vehicles to access the end of the road.

Although at present not as busy, as soon as free parking in Hereford and at the hospital ends this will potentially make Brookside even busier at both ends if this proposal as it stands goes ahead.

Making the proposed change on the corner of Brookside/Lichfield Avenue will make the road much safer and easier to get in/out of and it should apply to all of Brookside. It should not be used as an out of town free car park and although residents don't 'own the road' it should not be used in this way.

XX, please let me know which department was responsible for deeming that it was appropriate to exclude the whole of

	Brookside in this proposal and why that decision was made.
	Should there have been a local consultation at an earlier stage?
	Regards
Consultee 21	To XX,
OBJECTION	1.07.09
	I am a member of XXX and have recently been made aware of the
	parking restrictions application made by the Litchfield Residents.
	I would like to object to this application. Myself and my colleagues are more than respectful of the residents drive ways
	always leaving enough space and movement. Every house on that
	road has off road parking, enough for multiple numbers of cars.
	A few members of staff have even gone back to their cars to find
	cars have been scratched or keyed. No one has even placed
	blame but because of this we all try to park elsewhere.
	We should not be made to feel like we are doing a bad thing by
	trying to go out and earn a living and care for the children at
	beech house by upsetting residents from where we park. We all
	pay our road tax and are never obstructing any drive way. None
	of us have huge cars and are never disrespectful.
	In the past if a neighbour isn't happy with where we are parked
	the member of staff will quickly move their vehicle and an
	apology is made. If we are now unable to park here staff would
	have to find other streets to park on, which in the winter for girls
	finishing in the dark and having to walk to their car at almost 6pm is not safe.
	If there is parking restrictions along this road it will also disrupt
	the running of the XX.
	I hope you take all of our reasons in to account and can recognise
	that we are all respectful of the residents and they all have off
	road parking.
- 1:	Thank you very much
Consultee 22	Hi there,
OBJECTION	I would like to object to making Lichfield Avenue 'residents only'
	parking. I park on the road for work, I park responsibly without
	blocking any of the resident drives or pavement access.
	As far as I can see, all of the properties along Lichfield Avenue
	have off road parking for multiple vehicles so they would not need to use the residents parking on the road.
	I really hope the opinions of the public is taken into account
	when moving forward with this decision.
	Many thanks,
Consultee 23	Dear Sir or Madam
(No Objections Raised)	- Deal 311 Of Iviauaiii
(140 Objections Maiseu)	REF: The new proposals for parking restrictions on Lichfield
	Avenue, Hereford. HR1.
	Avenue, Hererora. Hitt.

Firstly, please note I believe these restrictions are long overdue and therefore welcomed. However, unfortunately in solving that problem, it is highly likely you will be just increasing the existing parking issues for the residents of nearby Brookside, Sedgefield Road and Dymond Close. So very much a case of moving the problem elsewhere. Can the Highways Department give assurance or at least offer solutions regarding congestion and safety issues if this happens?

Sedgefield Road in particular is currently an overflow carpark for local businesses and shoppers visiting Hereford City looking for free unrestricted daily parking. With particular regard to safety, XX desperately need a no parking pull-in, at least the length of two cars mid way along the first long section of Sedgefield Road between Lichfield Avenue and Dymond Close (see attached map) to allow for passing. This is a narrow road and cars can be parked with little regard to road safety. There can also be at times aggressive altercations between drivers refusing to reverse. This is only going to get worse with drivers looking for spaces when restrictions start on Lichfield Avenue. Many residents are elderly in this area and reversing back for long stretches, past badly parked vehicles under sometimes aggressive intimidation is not pleasant or safe.

Yours sincerely

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good morning XX,

Thank you for your email and comments below.

To come back to your query, we are aware of the potential impact on surrounding roads as a result of the restrictions. It is very much a case of a lack of certainty whether there will be any impact, or no impact at all. Assuredly, however, we are considering how we may be able to monitor any impact which this scheme may have on surrounding roads if the scheme is to progress following this consultation process.

I have added your comments to our database and they shall be closely considered upon the close of this consultation process.

Many thanks again for your engagement.

### Consultee 24 OBJECTION

To whom it may concern

I am writing to object to the planned parking restrictions on Litchfield Avenue, Hereford.

I work in the area where we have no parking at my place of work, so have to use street parking as I am unable to walk or cycle to work due to where I live. I wish to oppose the new plans, as the

properties on Litchfield Avenue have off street parking for multiple vehicles but the residents choose to park on the street to be awkward after we have parked sensibly to go to work. There is never a shortage of parking spaces. The implementation of residents only parking is unjustified and inappropriate for a location like this. Every household has ample parking. I do agree that double yellow lines at junctions is a good idea to stop some people parking dangerously. In the past residents have been verbally aggressive towards people parking, which is totally unacceptable. People have had damage caused to vehicles by being scratched with a key or other such object. And on several occasions notes have been left on vehicles using some awful language. Residents wait for people to park and walk to work and then park on the road to cause obstruction to the bus and then contact the places of work to make complaints and get cars moved. If it does go ahead to be permit only I feel that non residents should be able to purchase a permit to park, as residents have ample driveway parking. **Kind Regards Consultee 25** To whom it may concern, I am writing to object the planned **OBJECTION** parking restrictions on Lichfield Avenue, Hereford. I work in the area and use this area to park as there is no parking at my place of work and do not live in walking or cycling distance. I choose to object this as there is no need for resident parking due to having off street parking driveways at all the houses with plenty of parking space. Some residents have been verbally aggressive to colleagues and also have caused damage to vehicles as they choose to park on the street and be awkward for people trying to access their place of work. Residents cause obstructions in the street with their cars to call the local places of work and get other people to move their cars so they can park where they want. However I agree with having double yellow lines on the junctions to avoid any dangerous parking as this can sometimes become a problem. Kind regards, **Consultee 26** Dear Sir/Madam **OBJECTION** I am emailing you about the planning for residents only parking at Litchfield Avenue. I strongly disagree with this and these are the reasons why. Most of the properties on Litchfield Avenue have parking for multiple cars so there is no reason why they would need more parking. There is never a shortage of parking.

	An order like this could cause residents to buy more cars to fill up the road when all of these residents are within walking distance of town.  I feel that residents only parking in this location is unjustified and inappropriate because residents already have their own parking.
Consultee 27	Regards  I fully support the introduction of the scheme.
SUPPORT	i fully support the introduction of the scheme.
Consultee 28	Dear XX, Re 3409- 33 Lichfield Avenue. I live at XX. I am totally in
SUPPORT	agreement with the traffic controlling scheme going ahead as it is a major bus route. thanking you. Yours faithfully
Consultee 29 SUPPORT	I want to add my support to the Residents Parking Orders for Lichfield Avenue.
	Often double parking and disjointed parking has made navigating parked vehicles as the junction of Lichfield Avenue and Eign Road dangerous. I have also seen a number of individuals crossing the road in a dangerous way with animals at the vets at the bottom of the road due to the excessive parking.
	Also, there is often double parking that has made it impossible for the buses to safely pass cars on this road.  The changes to the parking arrangements on Lichfield Avenue are essential to the safety of residents and to allow traffic to flow
	safely on this road.  Many thanks
Consultee 30 SUPPORT	I fully support the proposed traffic restrictions for Lichfield Avenue, Hereford
Consultee 31 SUPPORT	Please add my approval to the proposals already outlined for the parking restrictions for this avenue.  I am in agreement with the proposals.
Consultee 32	Hi XX
SUPPORT	To confirm that I fully support your proposal of implementing parking restrictions as you have proposed in your letter.
	Parking is out of control in Lichfield Avenue, being continuously used by non-residents for all day parking, and often leaving their cars for days/weeks at a time with no regard to the people that live here, nor respecting walkways or normal flowing traffic. I work from home and constantly see buses blocked, having to reverse down the road, and emergency services simply cannot get through.
	Please also note that my neighbours in XX are also in full support of the scheme. However they have not written to you as your

<u> </u>	
	proposal letter states: "The deadline for the receipt of objections is 12.00 noon on 7th August." It does not mention that you have to confirm if you are in support of the scheme. So the neighbourhood assume that should be going ahead, which they are looking forward to. Please also note, that many people in this neighbourhood are elderly and do not have access to a computer, to which I have informed XX, Ward Councillor.  I was only made aware that I should write to you today, further to a telephone call from XX this morning. Let's hope that enough people can confirm their support today, given the very short notice.  Regards
Consultee 33 SUPPORT	Dear Sir,
	With regard to the proposal to implement parking restrictions in Lichfield Avenue, I write to fully support the proposals. As a XX, the road has become increasingly hazardous due to parked vehicles, especially when leaving our driveway. We have also had to ask people at nearby businesses to move their cars to enable the bus to travel freely.
	We look forward to the restrictions being implemented soon. Kind regards,
Consultee 34 SUPPORT	Dear XX,  I support the proposals for parking restrictions in Lichfield Avenue, Hereford. I am a young driver and live with my parents in XX. I find it very difficult to get my car out of the drive when there are so many people who don't live here parking in the road and on the pavements. It makes it very difficult to see.  I have also noticed that the buses sometimes can't get through and will sit there beeping their horns until someone comes out to move their car.  Hopefully the yellow lines and resident's parking will soon be in place to make it safer for everyone.  Thank you,
Consultee 35 SUPPORT Consultee 36	Please register our support and agreement for the parking restrictions already outlined in previous consultations.  I would like to give my support to the proposals for parking and
SUPPORT Consultee 37	look forward to it happening in the not too distant future.  Hi,
SUPPORT	111,
	I wanted to email to say I support this proposal because I often visit my parents in XX for childcare and I often find it difficult getting in and out of their drive due to parked cars.  On occasion I parked directly in front of their drive (as I couldn't get onto it because of the parked cars) and a bud was unable to get through because cars are parked both sides, blocking the road.

	I was in fact the only person who really should have been parking there, yet I had to get back in the car with a baby and move as no one else was around to move their car.
	Kind regards
Consultee 38 SUPPORT	I regularly visit relatives on XX and often find it very difficult to park on their drive due to cars parking either side, making it sometimes impossible to enter or leave the drive.  I have a young child and find it upsetting to have to find alternative parking to keep my child safe when my relatives have a perfectly good driveway for us to park on but I am unable to do so due to the inconsiderate parking of others. I have also witnessed buses unable to drive up Lichfield Avenue as cars are parked either side of the road not leaving a big enough gap for the bus to get through.  I fully endorse the proposed parking restrictions and hope that the road will soon be safer for us all to use.
Consulto a 20	Kind regards
Consultee 39 OBJECTION	In response to the proposed residents parking order I am writing to object to the said proposal. Whilst I understand the need for some provision to be put in place to avoid congestion at both ends of Lichfield Avenue I do not agree to not being able to park outside my own front door (XX) or allow any visitors to park there during the said hours. It is at the junction of Hampton Park Road and Ledbury Road where the problems arise. That area should be yellow lines, with permit parking only.
Consultee 40 SUPPORT	I write to confirm that I am in full agreement with the proposed changes to the parking restrictions to be implemented in Lichfield Avenue HR1 2RJ
Consultee 41 SUPPORT	I fully support the above as we are very concerned re emergency vehicles and the bus getting access to Lichfield Avenue Hereford. I look forward to hearing from you.
Consultee 42 SUPPORT	This is to inform you that I support the TRO scheme and look forward to it coming to fruition.
Consultee 43 SUPPORT	Dear Sir/Madam, As resident of XX I wish to express my support for the planned parking restrictions in Lichfield Avenue. Especially the Eign Road end gets very congested with cars parking on both sides of the road. The bus has had to turn round before as the parked cars obstructed the road.
Consultee 44 SUPPORT	I am fully supportive of the parking work to be undertaken in Lichfield Avenue
Consultee 45 SUPPORT	I live at XX.  I fully support the proposed parking order 2020 outlining permit parking and no waiting
Consultee 46 SUPPORT	XX, There is obviously some misunderstanding on the way the system works.

Consultee 47 SUPPORT	I spoke to our councillor today and it appears that we have to reregister our support for the scheme. I have been in contact with you before to support the scheme and thought this was a DONE DEAL. It appears this is NOT the case. A few in the street obviously did not understand and thought the same as me. Therefore:- XX register our support for the scheme. XX. Also I would like to register support for the scheme on behalf of XX as she is elderly and has no means to do this quickly and in the time frame. Thanks  Dear Sir, I am in complete agreement with the permit system proposed for Lichfield Avenue, Tupsley, and look forward to it's implementation.
	I understood that I only needed to email if I objected.!
Con House 40	Yours sincerely,
Cosultee 48 SUPPORT	I write this email to support the TRO scheme in Lichfield Ave, Hereford
SUPPORT	I am a resident of XX.
	Kind regards
Consultee 49	We are happy with the proposal to have permit parking - all 3
SUPPORT	occupants living at this property are in agreement
Consultee 50	I am emailing to say that I support this proposal because I often
SUPPORT	visit my In Laws who live in XX for childcare and I often find it
	difficult getting in and out of their drive due to parked cars. Even
	if there was space outside, parking on the road because I cannot
	get onto their drive is not practical with a small child. I have also
	seen on occasion the bus being unable to get through due to parked cars on both sides of the road.
Consultee 51	I am appalled to learn from our local councilor that the recently
SUPPORT	issued notice regarding the above needs a response from us.  Nowhere on the document does it say so. It is natural to suggest that no objections mean that the resident is in favour and only objectors living in the road should be counted as votes against.  All others should be counted as votes in favour.  Let me be absolutely clear. I am in favour of the proposed restrictions. They should be implemented immediately.  If this important issue fails due to mismanagement, I will be taking the matter further.
Consultee 52	I am in favour alternate side parking and safety restrictions at
PARTIAL SUPPORT	either end of the road - just common sense.
	Every house has at least one drive so residents' permits are
Consultee 53	unnecessary and a bit NIMBY-ish!  I have just received a note from our local councillor advising that
SUPPORT	you need my opinion on the above. Why did you not ask for this before? You only asked for objectors. Are the objections received so far from residents in the road? Have you undertaken an exercise like this before?  I am in favour of the new restrictions. Anyone with any sense can see that they don't go far enough, particularly with regard to the
	double yellow lines at the junctions but it is a start.

Committee E4	To Mile and the Many Common of the second size for a second size f
Consultee 54	To Whom It May Concern ,I am very much in favour of the
SUPPORT	changes to parking on Lichfield Avenue it has become a daily
	nightmare to try and get in and out of our driveway.
Consultee 55	To Whom It May Concern ,The TRO scheme in Lichfield Ave is
SUPPORT	very much needed cars are left for days on end very often
	blocking driveways I really hope the changes go ahead.
Consultee 56	Hi XX
SUPPORT	Just wanted to add my support to the scheme proceeding.
	Daytime parking outside my house is now a nightmare as more
	people are returning to work
	I wholeheartedly support the scheme and look forward to it
	commencing
	Many Thanks
Consultee 57	Ref TRO Scheme Lichfield Avenue HR12RJ
SUPPORT	I am in favour of the proposed scheme
Consultee 58	I would like to express my support for the proposed TRO as
SUPPORT	applicable to (U80105) Lichfield Avenue
	I think that the parking restrictions as proposed in the "The
	County Of Herefordshire District Council Hereford Residents
	Parking Order 2019 (Amendment No.1) Order 2020" will meet
	the targets listed.
	To avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road
	or any other road or to prevent the likelihood of any such danger
	arising.
	To preserve or improve the amenity of the area through
	which the road runs.
	To secure the expeditious, convenient and safe
	movement of traffic.
	To secure reasonable access to premises for residents.
	At present drivers commonly use the road as an alternative to
	city center parking and vehicles are often parked without due
	attention to the type of traffic using the road. The scheme will
	serve to make it clear which sides of the road should be used for
	casual parking to ensure sufficient space for traffic, especially
	buses and vans. It will also ensure that there is adequate on-
	street parking for residents.
	Your sincerely
Consultee 59	I fully support permit parking along this road. It can be impossible
SUPPORT	to drive along it due to the parking by non residents who work at
JOFFORT	various nearby establishments. I have even seen the road totally
	blocked at the Eign Road end due to inconsiderate parking.
Consultee 60	Dear XX,
SUPPORT	I am in agreement with the TRO scheme. Please have the double
	draw on Lichfield Avenue throughout. I noticed quite a few
	outsiders cars are parking along Lichfield Avenue causing
	difficulty for cars to back out or drive in.
	I appreciate if the concern authority would do something about
	it. Thank you.
	Kind regards,
Consultos C1	I approve of this scheme.
Consultee 61	rapprove or this scrience.

Consultee 62	Dear Sir
SUPPORT	
SUPPORT	I would like to vote for permit parking in Lichfield avenue.
Control House	Many Thanks
Consultee 63	I approve of the proposed measures for the T R O scheme for
SUPPORT	Lichfield Avenue , Hereford,
Consultee 64	Dear XX,
SUPPORT	I am writing to express my support for the TRO on Lichfield
	Avenue, which I believe will increase the road safety in the area
	for residents.
	Kind Regards
Consultee 65	Dear XX,
SUPPORT	I am writing to express my support for the proposed TRO in
	Lichfield Avenue. I believe that the new traffic restrictions will
	improve safety for both residents and bus users.
	Regards
Consultee 66	I support the TRO scheme for Lichfield Ave Hereford
SUPPORT	I am a XX.
	Kind regards
Consultee 67	Dear sir.
SUPPORT	As I live in XX and drive the local bus through XX. I wish to vote
	for permit parking.
	Many thanks
Consultee 68	I fully support the Yellow Lines in the avenue, it will help fire
SUPPORT	engines, ambulances, and the bus to go through.
Consultee 69	hi I am emailing in support of the TRO scheme in Lichfield avenue
SUPPORT	I want to have the double yellow lines etc
Consultee 70	I fully agree with the scheme as it will make it easier for the buses
SUPPORT	to get through because often there is not enough room between
SOLICIA	cars for them to pass.
Consultee 71	Dear Team,
SUPPORT	We understand that we need to advise you that we are in
SOFFORT	agreement with the proposed TRO for Lichfield Avenue,
	Hereford.
	I am not sure if we have missed some correspondence from the
	team but we were not aware we had to write to register our
	support.
	Kind regards
Consultee 72	Dear XX
SUPPORT	Deal AA
3311311	I support the Traffic Regulation Order for Lichfield Avenue.
	1 support the Traine negatation of der for Licinicia Avenue.
	There have been numerous occasions when the local bus has not
	been able to drive along the road due to the amount of parked
	cars, whose drivers have not given due attention to other road
	users.
	users.
	It will hanofully also anable recidents to assess their drivey and
	It will hopefully also enable residents to access their driveways
	due to clear parking bays.

	It will clearly show parking is short stay to visit residents, for
	example, and not as an alternative to city centre or workplace car
	parks.
	Thank you for considering my support for the scheme.
	Regards
Consultee 73	I visit relatives in XX and support the proposed parking
SUPPORT	restrictions as I find it difficult to get in and out of their driveway
	when visiting due to the number of cars parked on both sides of
	the road. On a number of occasions I have seen the bus get stuck
	or nearly hit cars when coming down the road .
	With kind regards
Consultee 74	Hi
SUPPORT	Please accept this as our agreement of this TRO scheme in
	Lichfield Avenue.
Consulto a 75	Kind regards
Consultee 75	Hello
SUPPORT	I am writing to say that I support the parking restrictions in
	Lichfield Avenue. We find it difficult to get in and out of our relative's driveway when visiting due to the large number of cars
	parked on both sides of the road.
	Kind regards
Consultee 76	Hi,
SUPPORT	111,
	Please could I strongly confirm my support for the proposed
	parking scheme on Lichfield Avenue.
	As a resident of XX, I have been increasingly concerned over the
	level of parking making it dangerous for me to exit my driveway
	blind to traffic coming in both directions. I have also witnessed
	the bus having to turn around due to cars blocking the road on
	numerous occasions, emergency services would have the same
	problem.
<u> </u>	Thank you for the proposed scheme - perfect.
Consultee 77	Dear Sirs,
SUPPORT	
	I am writing to express my support of the TRO proposed which
	will see parking regulations imposed along Lichfield Avenue.
	Llive in VV where I work as a teacher supporting the British forces
	I live in XX where I work as a teacher supporting the British forces stationed there. During the school holidays, we often return to
	Hereford to visit my parents who live XX. Over the past 8 years of
	returning home, we have noticed the parking and traffic
	surround the end of our road become increasingly worse due to
	= -
	time.
	When my parents moved into the house, the traffic was no
	where near as bad as it is today. The amount of cars being left
	the sheer volume of cars parked and left for a sustained period of time.  When my parents moved into the house, the traffic was no

	along the road for the duration of the day is ridiculous as it leaves no where for the visitors of residents to park and causes chaos as the buses try to navigate what was already a busy section of road due to visitors of the local vet.
	Many of these vehicles that are left seem to belong to employees of the local nursery who appear to discourage their staff from using their own onsite parking facilities thus forcing them to spill out onto the unrestricted residents roads that surround. The car park of the nursery during the day is empty suggesting that no one working here is using this car park.
	I'm sure you can imagine the frustration of returning from a day out, the shops or a long drive across the continent only to find that to park outside the house you're visiting is made difficult or impossible as there is no space. I've witnessed on several occasions, the local bus struggling to navigate it's way along it's route as cars have parked irresponsibly on either side of the road meaning only a narrow passage remains for through traffic. I do believe the introduction of parking bays will alleviate the issues faced and will allow the traffic to flow through once again.
	I hope this email is useful in supporting the TRO in place and look forward to hearing the outcome,
	Many thanks,
Consultee 78 SUPPORT	Please can you go ahead with the yellow lines and parking restrictions that have been proposed for Lichfield Ave, Hereford. It has become impossible to travel up and down the avenue as parked cars etc are more often than not parked in the most inconsiderate way on both sides of the road!  Many Thanks,
Consultee 79	Dear XX,
SUPPORT	I am writing in support to the proposed parking restrictions for Lichfield Avenue, Hereford.
	I am strongly in agreement that these parking restrictions are introduced ASAP XX road has become a carpark for workers which has lead to us residents struggling to exit our drives or even walking on the footpath. On numerous occasions the bus has not been able to get through the parked cars which has lead to absolute chaos in the road and leaves us very worried should an ambulance or fire engine need to get through.  Many thanks
Consultee 80 SUPPORT	Dear Sirs, I am writing to express my support of the TRO scheme which will see parking regulations imposed along Lichfield Avenue.
	My husband and I live in XX and during holidays, we visit my husband's parents who live at XX.

	We have two small children, so when we make our long drive from XX to visit our family in Hereford, it is very stressful not to be able to park there.  We think the TRO scheme would make parking much better for residents and visitors. It would also stop people irresponsibly parking either side of the road, leaving only a small passage for traffic. Which is essential for the traffic flow of buses and emergency vehicles.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Best wishes,
Consultee 81 SUPPORT	Dear Sir, We would like to submit our full support for the amendment to the proposed parking restrictions for Lichfield Avenue. As Covid restrictions are eased the parking problem is returning, once again we have inconsiderate parking blocking both road and pavement in places, presenting difficulties for our bus service and for delivery vehicles and potentially emergency vehicles.
	We feel the proposed amendment will help traffic flow for all vehicles and will preclude the need for pavement parking which will benefit pedestrians.  Yours Faithfully,
Consultee 82 SUPPORT	I absolutely AGREE with the parking restrictions put forward for Lichfield Avenue and hope that it is in operation as soon as possible!
Consultee 83 SUPPORT	Dear XX,
	I fully support the TRO Scheme in Lichfield Avenue HR1 2RJ. Hopefully this scheme will come through as soon as possible. We are quite irritated with car parking on either side of the road with no consideration for the resident living in Lichfield Avenue.
	Kind regards,
Consultee 84 SUPPORT	I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE PROPOSED PARKING RESCRITIONS IN LICHFIELD AVENUE
Consultee 85 SUPPORT	I STRONGLY SUPPORT THE PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTIONS IN LICHFIELD AVENUE
Consultee 86 SUPPORT	To who it may concern I am emailing to give my full support to the TRO scheme in Lichfield Avenue. Please could this be put forward as I realise you are waiting for urgent replies. Thankyou
Consultee 87	Support given through Ward Councillor
SUPPORT	
Consultee 88 SUPPORT	Support given through Ward Councillor

Canaciltae 00	Company air air and the sough Many Company
Consultee 89	Support given through Ward Councillor
SUPPORT	
Consultee 90	Support given through Ward Councillor
SUPPORT	
Consultee 91	Support given through Ward Councillor
SUPPORT	
Consultee 92	Good morning XX
PARTIAL SUPPORT	
	I am writing on behalf of my parents -XX, who live in XX- in support of the proposed TRO on Lichfield Avenue. I have included them in this email should you wish to verify, as they are not able to email from their current location.
	Kind regards
	CONSULTEE SECOND EMAIL
	Dear XX
	In addition to me previous email, I have been asked to stipulate that their support is on the condition that the double yellow lines extend 10m only around the bend on Lichfield Avenue, where XX.
	Kind regards XX
Consultee 93	Hi XX
SUPPORT	XX is in agreement with the TRO.
Consultee 94	Good morning XX,
SUPPORT	I have been advised of the above request for traffic restrictions in
	Lichfield Avenue and I wanted to write as I would fully support
	these measures for yellow lines
	People have been parking inconsiderately which disrupts the flow
	and ability to travel up and down the road.
	I hope my support for the restrictions is noted.
	kindest regards
Consultee 95	Dear Sir
(No Objections Raised)	Re: 3409-69 Lichfield Avenue, dated July, 2020.
	Luca this appartunity to commant on the last proposal for
	I use this opportunity to comment on the last proposal for parking restrictions in Lichfield Avenue.
	It was necessary to address the problem of the use of the Avenue
	for free car-parking. The proposed solution will certainly solve
	the all-day-parking problem.
	However, I have a reservation regarding safe and easy access by buses, utility vehicles and, frequently, ambulances. I still believe the Avenue to be too narrow to accommodate moving vehicles when there are parked cars on both sides of the road, particularly on the hill at the Hampton Park Road end. The difficulty which

	exists at the moment is a result of mainly residential parking on the north side of the road, and short-term parking on the south, potentially closing the road to moving traffic. The proposed creation of legitimate resident parking and legitimate short-term parking ensures that the problem will continue to exist, except in slots 10:30-11:30 and 14:00-15:00 each weekday.
	I am pleased to see the use of double yellow lines on all corners.
	I think that we have little choice but to accept this proposal, but we cannot ignore the continuing problem of access on the hill, as stated above.
Consultee 96 SUPPORT	To whom it may concern, I am in complete support of the proposed parking restrictions being personally affected when trying to receive food deliveries during the corona-virus pandemic at a time when I am supposed to be shielding.
Consultee 97 SUPPORT	Regards,  Dear all,  We at XX are fully in favour of having the TRO scheme. The street is used heavily by non residents as free parking close to the city. It has become increasingly difficult to get access to your own drive way. The street is also on a bus route and the buses have great difficulty in passing due to parked cars. I would also suggest that fire engines if required would also have difficulties. Kind regards
Consultee 98	Good morning,
(No Objections Raised)	Although we have spoken to and had a meeting with our local councillor XX regarding the effects that the proposed changes being made in Lichfield Avenue, Hereford will have on our narrow Cul De Sac in XX, off Lichfield Avenue and also the slip road linking the two roads, because we have not heard anything more from her we thought it advisable to put our concerns in writing to you.
	Our narrow road was only initially planned to have housing on one side only but was later developed on the opposite side too, the driveways were carefully staggered to make access and exiting the driveways slightly easier. While we fully appreciate and support the need for the proposed restrictions in Lichfield Avenue, the knock on effect for the elderly residents living in Brookside is going to devastating.
	We are easily accessible to the town centre and also the local Hospital and so at present those people who choose not to pay for parking while they are at work all day, or have appointments at the Hospital, or those who work for the Council or surrounding businesses, all elect to park their cars for the day in Lichfield Avenue, the slip road into Brookside and in Brookside itself, the actual slip road is an accident waiting to happen because you are

forced to exit on the wrong side of the road due to the parked cars. We also have parking often for days on end without the car being moved from the people living in the 14 maisonettes on Ledbury Road who often have more than one car and the added problem is that the person who rents some of them out, often rents the garages separate to the property. Although these residents can obtain resident parking permits, the lay by is often full because of people parking to go to Tesco Express. The other problem is that quite a few speak little or no English!

While people were being furloughed and businesses were closed our road was as it used to be, quiet and with no parking other than visitors and carers, now that people are getting back to work there has been a considerable increase in parked cars in both Lichfield Avenue, the slip road and it's starting to increase now in Brookside as well. We are nearly all elderly, many dependant on others to remain in their own home, needing to use care workers, family and other support agencies and all these people need to be able to park nearby in order to visit. There have been occasions where the road has been so severely restricted by parked vehicles that an Emergency Vehicle would not have been able to get through, that worries us all. When our neighbours wife was alive, she suffered from Parkinson's disease, the carers visiting were having to park at the other end of the road because of inconsiderate people who only care about depositing their car somewhere for the day!

Please will you give serious consideration to the problems which we will be facing once these changes are implemented in Lichfield Avenue, there will be quite literally nowhere else for these people to park and so the forthcoming problems are obvious to us all. If anyone had taken the trouble to also consult the 48 residents of Brookside as they no doubt did in Lichfield Avenue, then they would have found a familiar response from us all.

Please, please do not simply disregard the problem you will be inflicting on us all, life is hard enough as you get into your late 70's and beyond without having added problems and worries pushed our way. We all chose to live here so that we can walk into town and keep ourselves fit, it's a pity the people who park and make our lives a misery couldn't take up cycling or walking too.

Kindest regards,

#### **ISSUED RESPONSE**

Good afternoon

Thank you for your comments and concerns regarding the proposed scheme at Lichfield Avenue.

I understand your concerns, have logged them onto our system and please do rest assured they will be closely considered and discussed upon the close of this consultation process. We are aware that there are concerns regarding the potential impact of the scheme on surrounding roads and will be discussing how to potentially monitor the situation should the scheme go ahead in terms of ensuring that any perceived negative impact be investigated.

As I say your concerns are noted and shall be carefully considered upon the close of this consultation.

I have not yet logged your response as an Objection, however if you wish for me to do so please confirm this in writing.

Thank you again for your engagement in this process. It is much appreciated.

Kind regards,

#### **CONSULTEE RESPONSE**

Good afternoon XX,

Thank you for noting our comments, we certainly do not want to lodge an objection, we wholeheartedly support what you are trying to do to help what has been a difficult and dangerous situation for far too long. We simply want to make clear to everyone concerned the knock on effect that the new restrictions will have on Brookside.

Sadly, the council have taken away a great deal of the town parking for workers over the past few years which has only made the current situation much worse for everyone. For us personally, a couple of years ago we ordered a take away Indian meal only to find when we wanted to collect it that we couldn't get the car off our drive! Needless to say it was cold by the time we got it back home.

All but two of the properties in Brookside are bungalows, which really speaks for itself, we are all elderly, we have nearly all lived here for a great many years, we XX 35 years ago and many have been here much longer than us, we all love our homes, gardens and the location close to Hereford very much indeed, we all look out for each other, something which has been vital since Coronavirus struck back in March.

Many of our neighbours were aghast to discover what was being proposed in Lichfield Avenue without any due consideration or consultation being given to the devastating impact it would have on Brookside. It must have been evident to everyone concerned, the proposal simply moves the problem form a wide road into a much narrower Cul de Sac, we seem to have been totally overlooked and yet to have included Brookside, would not have made the job that much bigger at the end of the day.

	We did have a very strange comment from XX when she came to speak to us, she said of course your situation is very different to Lichfield Avenue because Brookside is not a through road, true in itself but neither is Ledbury Court or the Rose Gardens, and yet they are getting proper parking restrictions, the mind boggles.  It was because we had not heard anything back from her that we thought it best to log our worries and concerns while there was still time. Many thanks for all your help in this matter.
	Kindost rogards
Consultee 99	Kindest regards,  We agree with the TRO lichfield Avenue
SUPPORT	Thanks
Consultee 100	Dear Sir
SUPPORT	Re the TRO scheme in Lichfield Avenue, I am in agreement in it going ahead.  I live XX, and although I do not own a car myself, any visitor here has a great problem parking. I have gardening help, and he often has to park some way along the road and push his mower etc
	along here. I think some of the daytime parking is of people who do not live in, or visit here. Hence the road during the first few months of lockdown was emptier of cars.  yours sincerely
Consultee 101 OBJECTION	I would like to submit my objection to the yellow ,red lines and no stopping etc.it works perfectly good as it is.for instance on birthdays etc,where will my friends park.also it's completely changing the street,which was a lovely flowered and tree street,but residents are block paving their drives,ripping up their gardens.which causes more problems for the environment.i.e river flooding,more cars being pushed further out of city limits, thereby needing more lines.where do we stop? When we have concreted everything? We will be no better off than when we started.the city will be empty and the count full of cars.
Consultee 102 OBJECTION	6th August 2020 Dear whom it may concern RE: TRO Lichfield Avenue, Hereford Please find below our objection for turning Lichfield Avenue into a resident only parking area. We are fully in support of making the road safer and addressing the issues relating to the bus being unable to pass, but feel this can be achieved with the addition of "no waiting at any time" to one side only.
	1. Most, if not all of the residents on Lichfield Avenue have ample off-road parking so it seems very unreasonable to be requesting residents only parking.
	2. There are certain times during the day that are naturally busier than others at the practice and some clients will have no

choice but to park on the road. These proposed restrictions will make it incredibly difficult for a local business that has been part of Lichfield Avenue for 40 +years and employ over 25 members of staff to conduct day to day business. We operate 15 minute consultations so the impact caused by our clients on long term parking will be minimal.

3. The 2013 policy and criteria document states that for resident permit parking to be considered residents must prove that they have difficulty regularly finding a parking space or that less than 50% of residents don't have the facility to park off the road. In the case of Lichfield Avenue well over 50% of residents have a drive way.

In summary the issue that Lichfield Avenue has is safe access for public transport and the safety of the general public, there is no issue with resident parking. A resident parking scheme would fail to address the issue.

I strongly hope that a reasonable solution can be agreed. Kind regards